UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/581,946	11/08/2000	Jose Francisco Garcia Martin	GARCIA-MARTI	6651
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			EXAMINER	
			REDMAN, JERRY E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/581,946	GARCIA MARTIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jerry Redman	3634	

·	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence an	ldress
THE REPLY FILED 15 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid at this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evided places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within or time periods:	ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, v no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	ction.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the approprise been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate and the corresponding amount of the final O set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	priate extension fee office action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two mor filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	because
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying appeal; and/or	g the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	+ (DTOL 204)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendmen Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	it (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amended. 	ment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	nent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <i>none</i> .	•
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>24-31 and 39</u> .	. •
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant the showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	fails to provide a
10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or atta	• • •
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allow	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:	
	 -
Jerry Redman Primary Examine Art Unit: 3634	r .

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: the applicant's amendments to the claims raise 112 second paragraph issues.